EFFECT OF CUTTING AND NITROGEN MANAGEMENT ON PLANT MATURITY AND SEED SET IN OAT CV. JHO-851(AVENA SATIVA L.)

M. S. PUNEETH RAJ* AND B. S. VYAKARANAHAL

Department of Seed Science & Technology, UAS, Dharwad - 580 005, INDIA e-mail: rajpuneeth@gmail.com

KEYWORDS
Cutting management
Nitrogen
Plant maturity
Seed set (%)

Received on : 21.10.2015

Accepted on : 17.02.2016

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was carried out at UAS, Dharwad, in the department of Seed Science and Technology during Rabi season of 2012 and 2013for 2 year with the following treatments N₁: 80 kg/ha, N₂: 100kg/ha, N₃: 120kg/ha, N₄: 140kg/ha. Cutting management: C₁: No cut, C₂: one cut, C₃: two cut and for all treatments 60:40 P₂O₅, K₂O per ha, nitrogen is varied.Resultsrevealed that application of 80:60:40, N, P₂O₅, K₂O kg/ha showed significant plant performance such as early plant maturity, early days to 50 % flowering for the application of 60:40, P₂O₅: K₂O Per ha + 80kgN/ha 131.02 days and with no cut93.06 days and forthe seed set % significantly higher seed set % forthe application of 60:40, P₂O₅: K₂O Per ha + 140kgN/ha 81.99 (%) and with no cut 83.89 (%) and in seeds per panicle showed significantly higher in no cut recorded 68.52 and for the application of 60:40, P₂O₅: K₂O Per ha + 140kgN/ha showed significantly higher seed guality parameters.

INTRODUCTION

Effect of cutting and nitrogen management on plant maturity and seed set in oat cv. JHO-851(Avena sativa L.). Livestock production is backbone of Indian Agriculture and source of employment in rural areas for centuries by the production of early and faster maturing cutting and nitrogen responsive oat cultivar for good early maturity, better seeds per panicle, good seed set (%). Our whole system of rural economy has revolved around livestock production. India is house to 15% world cattle population and 16% of human population to be sustained and progressed on 2% of total geographical areas (Anon., 2012). Thus there is little chance of having good quality arable land available for fodder and seed production.The world area under oat is around 12.5 million ha. In India, it covers an area about one lakh hectare with productivity of 30-45 t/ha (Anon., 2012).

Oats are also an ingredient in many cold cereals. Hence to increase its productivity, are a function of seeds per panicle, plant maturity and seed set per cent which are significantly influenced by nitrogen application (Vyas et *al.*, 2011).

Among the various factors affecting the forage growth, yield and seed quality of oat, nitrogen and cutting management play a vital role. Nitrogen is an absolutely necessary component of the protoplasm of the plants and plays an important role in chlorophyll synthesis and also helps in increasing cell division, cell enlargement and plant growth (Shekaraet *al.*,2011). Hence to increase its yield by seeds per panicle, early maturity which are significantly influenced by nitrogen application and cutting management in oat crop. categories of livestock make up a part of the daily diet of horses, about 20% of daily intake or smaller, and are regularly fed to cattle as well by early maturity is important, used in some brands for chicken feed, commonly marketed as cat grass to cat enthusiasts henceseeds per panicle, early plant maturity and seed set per centare important (Anon, 2012). Oat (Avena sativa L.) belongs to the family Poaceae, it is presently grown in many parts of the world including India, USA, Canada and Europe etc. as spring-sown cultivars. It ranks around sixth in the world cereal area production and productivity followed by wheat, maize, rice, barley and sorghum. It requires a long and cool season for its growth therefore; it is successfully grown in the plains and hilly areas of the country. Oats are generally considered as "healthful", or a health food, being used commercially as nutritious. The discovery of healthy cholesterol-lowering properties has led to wider appreciation of oats as human food.

Information on the variety of oat with respect to nitrogen fertilization and cutting management to improve plant maturity and seed setper cent is meager in south Indian conditions and to improve seed production. Hence need an attempt to evaluate promising varieties of oats varying in different treatments under south Indian condition and also to improve plant maturity and seed set per cent of oat seeds. Main objective of this research was to find out nitrogen fertilization and cutting management to improve plant maturity and seed set per cent in oat cultivar seeds brought from north India and grown in south Indian conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Oats may also be consumed raw. Highly nutritive fodder to all

The field experiment was carried out at UAS, Dharwad, during

rabi season of pooled data of 2012 and 2013 to assess the effect of nitrogen and cutting managementtreatments on plantmaturity and seed set (%) of oat (Avena sativa L.). Oats seeds were obtained from the Jhansi fodder research institute, experiment was conducted at south Indian condition the seeds were used to record the initial guality parameters. After recording the initial observations, four treatments consist of viz. Nitrogen: N₁: 80, N₂: 100, N₃: 120, N₄: 140 kg/ha. And three cutting management viz, C₁: No cut, C₂: First cut, C₃: Two cut and for all treatments 60:40 P2O5, K2O kg per ha. So the Treatment Combinations are: 12, the experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design Gomez and Gomez (1989) with three replication in Dharwad during Rabi season sowing 2012 and 2013. Sowing was done with a spacing of plant to plant is 30 cm x 10 cm. Seed rate 100 kg per hactar was JHO-851 variety, the observations on days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, seeds per panicle and seed set%were included.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results revealed that days to 50 per cent flowering were significantly influenced by cutting management. Minimum number of days to 50 per cent flowering (93.06) was noticed in C₁ (no cut) and it was significantly superior over other treatments, while maximum number of days was noticed in C₃ (two cut) (163.88). Significantly Minimum number of days to 50 per cent flowering (131.02) was noticed in N₁ (80 kg N/ha), while maximum number of days was noticed in N₄ (140 kg N/ha) (133.94). The interaction effect between cutting and

nitrogen management are found to be significantly minimum number of days to 50 per cent flowering (92.02) was noticed in treatment combination of C.N. (no cut with 80 kg N/ha) and significantly superior over other treatments, while maximum number of days was noticed in $C_{2}N_{4}$ (two cut with 140 kg N/ ha) (165.84). Significantly minimum number of days to maturity (162.73) were observed in C₁ (no cut) and it was significantly superior over other treatments followed by C_{2} (one cut) (169.21), while maximum number of days observed in C₂ (two cut) (180.12). Similar trend of result was found in days to maturity as that of days to 50 % flowering for nitrogen and interaction effect.Numbers of seeds per panicle was the characters which was higher in no-cut system this may be due to good seed set per cent because of higher nutrient accumulation over a long period of crop growth. Similar results were reported by Hasan et al. (2000) in oat and Shah and Hasan (1999) in oat.

Lower days to maturity was significantly influenced by nitrogen levels (168.89) was recorded in N₁ (80 kg N/ha), while the lowest value was recorded in N₄ (140 kg N/ha) (172.41). Application of higher doses of fertilizers, more than recommended has increased the number of days to 50 per cent flowering and days to maturity because of higher nitrogen prolonged the life cycle, since cutting was done so additional days are required to complete life cycle which extends the plant growth and delayed the flowering. Similar results were reported by Singh *et al.* (2007) in oat crop. Significantly Higher seed set (83.89%) was observed in C₁ (no cut) and it was significantly superior over other treatments followed by C₂

Table 1: The effect of cutting a	nd nitrogen management on o	days to 50 per cent f	lowering and d	days to maturity in oat cultivar JHO-851

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Treatments	Days to 50 (%) flowering Days to maturity					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cutting (C)	2012	2013	Pooled	2012	2013	Pooled
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C ₁ -No cut	93.00	93.11	93.06	162.30	163.15	162.73
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C,-One cut	140.39	140.70	140.55	169.38	169.04	169.21
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C ₃ -Two cut	163.75	164.00	163.88	180.58	179.65	180.12
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.35	0.32	0.33	0.35	0.32	0.27
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C.D. at 5%	1.02	0.95	0.95	1.04	0.94	0.80
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nitrogen (N)						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N ₁ -80 kg/ha	130.68	131.35	131.02	168.98	168.80	168.89
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N ₂ -100 kg/ha	131.87	131.90	131.89	170.14	170.37	170.26
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		133.12	133.14	133.13	171.18	171.21	171.20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N ₄ -140 kg/ha	133.85	134.02	133.94	172.72	172.09	172.41
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		0.40	0.37	0.38	0.41	0.37	0.32
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C.D. at 5%	1.18	1.09	1.10	1.20	1.08	0.92
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Interaction (CxN)						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C ₁ N ₁	92.00	92.03	92.02	158.85	159.80	159.33
		92.61	92.67	92.64	161.33	162.88	162.11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C, N,	93.52	93.72	93.62	163.91	164.80	164.36
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		93.88	94.00	93.94	165.10	165.14	165.12
		138.35	139.68	139.02	168.67	168.48	168.58
	C,N,	139.96	140.02	139.99	169.05	168.50	168.78
	C ₂ N ₃	141.24	141.04	141.14	169.11	169.01	169.06
	$C_{2}N_{4}$	142.00	142.07	142.04	170.69	170.19	170.44
	C ₃ N ₁	161.70	162.33	162.02	179.42	178.12	178.77
	C ₃ N ₂	163.04	163.00	163.02	180.05	179.71	179.88
	$C_3 N_3$	164.60	164.67	164.64	180.51	179.83	180.17
Mean132.38132.60132.490170.75170.62170.69S.Em. ±0.690.650.650.710.640.55		165.67	166.00	165.84	182.35	180.93	181.64
	Mean	132.38	132.60	132.490	170.75	170.62	170.69
	S.Em. ±	0.69	0.65	0.65	0.71	0.64	0.55
C.D. at 570 1.51 1.051 1.51 2.070 1.070 1.070	C.D. at 5%	1.91	1.891	1.91	2.076	1.878	1.60

Treatments	Seeds per par	nicle		Seed set (%)		
Cutting (C)	2012	2013	Pooled	2012	2013	Pooled
C ₁ -No cut	68.24	68.79	68.52	83.92	83.86	83.89
C ₂ -One cut	66.23	66.22	66.23	81.37	81.40	81.39
C ₃ ² -Two cut	60.56	60.83	60.70	76.09	76.06	76.08
S.Ĕm. ±	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.29	0.28	0.21
C.D. at 5%	0.82	0.83	0.78	0.84	0.81	0.62
Nitrogen (N)						
N ₁ -80 kg/ha	62.91	63.34	63.13	78.50	78.38	78.44
N,-100 kg/ha	64.69	65.02	64.86	80.11	80.17	80.14
N ₃ -120 kg/ha	65.76	65.97	65.87	81.24	81.23	81.24
N₄-140 kg/ha	66.68	66.78	66.73	81.99	81.98	81.99
S.Ēm. ±	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.33	0.32	0.24
C.D. at 5%	0.95	0.95	0.90	0.97	0.93	0.71
Interaction (CxN)						
C ₁ N ₁	66.43	67.39	66.91	82.55	82.20	82.38
$C_1 N_2$	67.99	68.54	68.27	83.66	83.74	83.70
C,N,	68.70	69.21	68.96	84.37	84.35	84.36
$C_1 N_3$ $C_1 N_4$	69.85	70.01	69.93	85.10	85.14	85.12
$C_2 N_1$	65.22	65.21	65.22	80.29	80.32	80.31
	65.95	65.91	65.93	80.90	81.04	80.97
	66.32	66.32	66.32	81.69	81.67	81.68
$C_2 N_4$	67.45	67.45	67.45	82.58	82.58	82.58
$C_3 N_1$	57.10	57.43	57.27	72.64	72.63	72.64
$C_3 N_2$	60.15	60.62	60.39	75.76	75.74	75.75
$C_3 N_3$	62.27	62.38	62.33	77.67	77.67	77.67
$C_3 N_4$	62.73	62.87	62.80	78.28	78.22	78.25
Mean	65.01	65.28	65.15	80.46	80.44	80.45
S.Em. ±	0.56	0.56	0.53	0.57	0.55	0.42
C.D. at 5%	1.65	1.65	1.55	1.68	1.62	1.24

Table 2: The effect of cutting and nitrogen management on seeds per panicle and seed set per cent in oat cultivar JHO-851

(one cut) (81.39%), while lowest was observed in C_3 (two cut) (76.08%).Seeds per panicle and seed set per cent were significantly influenced by nitrogen. Higher seeds per panicle and seed set per cent (66.73, 81.99%) was recorded in $\mathrm{N}_{\!_4}$ (140 kg N/ha), while the lowest values recorded in N_1 (80 kg N/ha) (63.13, 78.44%). These results are in accordance with the findings of Sarkar et al. (2011) in oat. Higher seed set per cent (81.99%) was observed in N₄ (140 kg N/ha) which was on par with N₂ (120 kg N/ha) (81.24%) and significantly superior over other treatments, while lowest was observedin N, (80 kg N/ha) (78.44%). These results are in accordance with the findings of Sarkar et al. (2011) in oat, Pradeep(2013) in maize, Patel(2013) in corianderopined that the beneficial effects of nitrogen on cell division and elongation, formation of nucleotides and co-enzymes that have resulted in increased meristematic activity and photosynthetic area finally leads to higher production and accumulation of photosynthates which reflected in the higher seeds per panicle and seed set %.

REFERENCES

Anon. 2012. Post harvest management of crop residues/grasses/fodder crops and their value addition for sustaining livestock. *Winter School, Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi.* pp. 13-23.

Anon. 2012. FAO Stat, http://www.org/pasture/forage/stastics_en.ast

Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1989. Statistical procedures for Agril. Research. 2nd Edn. J. Wiley and Sons, Newyork, USA.

Hasan, B., Shah, W. A. and Hasan, B. 2000. Biomass, grain production and quality of oats (*Avenasativa*) under different cutting regimes and nitrogen levels. *Cereal Res. Communications.* 28(1-2): 203-210.

Patel, C. B., Amin, A. U. and Patel, A. L. 2013. Effect of varying levels of nitrogen and sulphur ongrowth and yield of coriander (*Coriandrumsativum*l.). *The Bioscan.* **8(4)**: 1285-1289.

Pradeep Singh, Rana, N. S., Shukla, U. N., Smita Singh, Rakesh Kumar and Kaushal, K. 2013. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on productionpotential of maize (Zea mays I.) under Indo-Gangaticplain zone of western U.P. *The Bioscan.* 8(3): 777-781.

Sarkar, R. K. and Mallick, R. B. 2011. Effect of planting geometry, nitrogen and phosphorus application on forage yield of oat (Avenasativa L.). Crop Res., 40(1, 2 & 3): 35-39.

Shah, W. A. and Hasan, B. 1999. Grain and fodder yield of oats (*Avenasativa*) as influenced by nitrogen levels and cutting schedules. *Forage Res.* 24(4): 185-190.

Singh, L. N., Katoch, D. C. and Verma, R. K. 2007. Notes on the response of forage oat to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus in the mid hills of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **43(8)**: 826-827.

Vyas, M. N., Ahlawat, J. S., Patel, Dadha, N. M. and Malavia, D. D. 2011. Indian J. Agron. 33: 204-205.